

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,377	11/19/2003	Steven Driediger	1400.1375180	6705
25697 7590 07/26/2007 ROSS D. SNYDER & ASSOCIATES, INC. PO BOX 164075			EXAMINER	
			CHUNG, PHUNG M	
AUSTIN, TX 78716-4075		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2117	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		<b>T</b>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/717,377	DRIEDIGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phung My Chung	2117			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2007 and 19 December 2006.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
.—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19,32-42 and 49</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-31 and 43-48</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/717,377 Page 2

Art Unit: 2117

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This office action is in response to the response to the examiner restriction dated on 4/26/07. The restriction requirement as set forth in the Office action mailed on 3/20/07 is hereby withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 20-31 and 43-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 20, lines 3-13, "performing a first transmission unit counting process for determinging a first datapath ingress transmission unit count and a first datapath egress transmission unit count of a darapath...during the first duration" is not clear whether the first datapath ingress transmission unit count and the first datapath egress transmission unit count in the same or different counting location of the datapath. Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

As per claims 21-31, these claims are rejected because they dependent upon the rejected base claim.

As per claim 43, lines 4 and 9, "capable of" a positive term should be used; and Line 11, there is no ending period at the end of the claim.

As per claims 43-48, these claims are rejected because they dependent upon the rejected base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 4. Claim 43 is remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacKay (U.S. Patent No. 4,052,566) in view of Pauwels (EP 1 109 366A1) as applied to claims 1-42 and 49 above, and further in view of Matsunaga et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,115,417). (See paragraph 3 of the office action dated on 6/17/06).
- 5. Claims 1-19, 32-42 and 49 are allowable.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/10/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because:

Claims 43, Applicant argues that MacKay reference fails to disclose "... wherein the first transmission counter set...is capable of facilitating a datapath ingress

Application/Control Number: 10/717,377 Page 4

Art Unit: 2117

transmission unit count..." and "...wherein the second transmission unit counter set... is capable of facilitating egress transmission unit count...".

Examiner disagrees with applicant because it doesn't mater for the first and second transmission counter sets that are capable of or uncapable of facilitating ingress and egress transmission unit counts. It does not affect the counters at the transmission units because they are just capable of but not used for counting the ingress and egress transmission unit counts.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung My Chung whose telephone number is 571-272-3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-jacques can be reached on 571-272-6962. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,377 Page 5

Art Unit: 2117

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phung My Chung

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2117